



www.ericjournal.ait.ac.th

Economic Analysis of the Electricity Mix of Iraq using Portfolio Optimization Approach

Hashim Mohammed Almusawi*¹ and Arash Farnoosh*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 07 January 2021
Received in revised form
31 March 2021
Accepted 05 April 2021

Keywords:
Electricity planning
Energy policy
Iraq
Mean variance
Portfolio theory

ABSTRACT

Many challenges facing the current and the future governments of Iraq, and one of these challenges is the situation of the power sector in the country. This study is about finding economic optimization scenarios for Iraq power mix, as the country is in dire need to minimize its power generation costs and finding the ultimate power mix structure that can help in developing the country for the better. Mean Variance Approach (MVA) is used to optimize the national power mix. It considers various costs that are involved in the power generation and the associated risks of using a particular power generation technology. The three main generation power technologies that were taken into account are gas turbines, thermal and diesel power stations in addition to the electricity imported and the generated electricity by the independent power producers (IPPs). The study proposes an optimization scenario balancing between the involved costs and risks associated with the power mix. The optimal scenario is to use around 47% gas turbines, 14% thermal, 0.04% diesel, 2% hydro and 33% IPPs.

1. INTRODUCTION

Iraq's economy is going through a rough time. As the country's revenues and budget are mostly based on oil exports, the budget of Iraq is mainly from the oil industry. As of 2019, almost 90% of government revenue is coming from the oil and gas sector (Iraq Energy Institute, 2018). However, due to the fall in the oil price and the COVID-19 which has reduced the global oil demand, Iraq's gross domestic product fell and shrank by 5% in 2020 and reverted to its low-base potential of 1.9 - 2.7% in 2021-2022 [1]. If there is no economic improvement and the oil price and demand increase, then the revenues of the country will shrink causing the investments which are needed to develop the economy, power sector, and moving towards a diversified economy, to be delayed further. Therefore, it is important when it comes to investments in the power sector to look for an optimized efficient plan that can help to minimize the required costs to develop the power sector with the lowest possible risks.

The evident relationship between energy consumption and economic growth should be considered, as it essential in moving towards more environment friendly generation mix to avoid long term environmental degradation [2]. Thus, the optimization of Iraq's generation mix, is one of the essential steps in achieving a sustainable development for the country. A study carried out in Turkey which is undergoing fast

economic development shows that the electricity generation which is dominated by fossil fuels in the country is one of the main factors contributing to the generation of carbon emissions, the study finds out that for Turkey to carry out sustainable development it needs to switch to low carbon energy mix that is diversified [3]. Also studies that focus on energy consumptions and its relationship with economic growth need to be supported as they will provide the policy makers with the needed information and data to formulate policies leading to a sustainable development [4].

Iraq power sector faces many issues, as the population and consequently energy demand is growing annually, the increase in generation capacity is far from satisfying this growing demand, this has led to power shortages. As it can be seen in Figure 1, the peak demand is still higher than the grid supply even though the electricity supply has increased by one third (IEA, 2019) [5]. Iraq's current peak producing power capacity is around 19.2 GW in 2020, however, due to peak demand and the rise of consumption especially in summer the country often imports from the neighboring countries.

The novelty of this study relies on the fact that it is the first time the mean variance approach (MVA) method is used to come up with an optimized generation mix for Iraq based on variables obtained from real data, as this optimization considers using the current technologies involved in the power generation mix.

Iraq electricity mix currently relies mostly on fossil fuel operated plants, however, there are plans to start investing in solar facilities. There are some hydropower plants but due to poor maintenance, and the decrease of water flow through the dams, this has led to the decline

*IFP Energies Nouvelles, IFP School, 232 Avenue Napoléon Bonaparte, 92852 Rueil-Malmaison, France.

¹Corresponding author:
Tel: + 33644310816.
Email: hashim.idrees123@gmail.com.

in their power generation. Iraq current electricity generation mix is shown in Figure 2.

The rest of the paper is organized in the following manner. Section 2 gives some background on the Mean-Variance Approach (MVA) and how it has been used in various cases to optimize the generating mixes in the

electricity sector, and comparison between this methodology and other ones. Section 3 gives an insight into the applied model for optimization. Section 4 shows the results and economic analysis. Finally, sections 5 and 6 provide conclusion and policy recommendations.




Fig. 1. Peak demand and maximum power supply from the grid in Iraq, 2014-18 (IEA, 2019).




Fig. 2. Generation mix in 2019 (Data Source: Ministry of Electricity).

2. APPLICATION OF THE MEAN-VARIANCE APPROACH IN THE ELECTRICITY MIX OPTIMIZATION

The method used to obtain the optimal portfolio is the mean-variance approach, even though it is usually considered in the financial works to get the optimal financial asset portfolio design, however, the electricity sector also uses the method to obtain portfolios that maximize the return and minimizes the risk [6]. The traditional method to make electricity generation planning is to use the methodology which is concerned

about the least cost [7]. The least-cost methodology is centred around using levelized-cost calculations of electricity generation, mostly conveyed in \$/MWh. This is conducted for various technologies of production, followed by a comparison between those costs, and finally deciding based on the options with the lowest cost. Nevertheless, this method had come under criticism for when it is used for both private investment decision making and policy assessments.

When it comes to making energy policy decisions, various technologies can be used to generate electricity

and operate in several contexts. Adding future uncertainty and complexity makes it challenging for electricity planners [8]. Also, another main factor that should be taken into consideration is the supply of fuel needed to generate electricity especially natural gas in case of Iraq, thus policymakers need to consider fuel shortages and take into account diversification of the electricity production technologies. Additionally, fossil fuel prices are volatile, and the policy maker needs to address this when deciding on what is the most suitable option for energy needs of the country.

Meanwhile, from the private investors' point of view, there are increased concerns regarding investment management due to fragile security, economic uncertainty, political instability, lack of proper legislations and widespread of corruption and nepotism. Also investing in electricity generation does not bring assured returns as many factors are playing a role in the determination of the heavily subsidized electricity price.

In this work, we are applying Markowitz's approach, which is based on mean-variance optimization and it results in an efficient frontier that gives a minimum risk for a given expected return (cost) or a maximum expected return for a given risk under preset constraints. The main assumption of this theory is that there are no transaction costs or taxes, and the investors when making the decisions are only considering the expected returns, standard deviations, co-variance of risky assets, and the return on assets have normal distributions [9]. The efficient frontier consists of efficient portfolios that are produced through numerical calculation of the risk (standard deviation), level of the return (cost), and correlation coefficients data. Figure 3 shows the efficient frontier, which the investor can use to choose the desired investment portfolio and see its associated level of risk. One of the applications of the mean-variance approach could be to choose the efficient portfolio in terms of power generation assets for a specific country or region [10].




Fig. 3. Schematic drawing of efficient frontier with portfolios.

Rodoulis [11] used the mean-variance portfolio to evaluate the energy mix in Cyprus. Whereby the generation mix was mostly dominated by oil which put the country under the risk of price fluctuation and high cost. While the driving force in Cyprus towards energy transition is to be less dependent on fossil fuels and move towards sustainable environmentally means of power generation (biomass, wind power, and solar) ensuring compliance with EU policies [11]. According to Rodoulis's model, the considered power generation technologies were based on oil, natural gas, coal, and wind. The results of optimization showed that 60% of the oil is better to be replaced by natural gas, this will help in reducing the generation costs by 30% and the cost variability decreased by 15%. Also, involving wind power by 10% in the oil-gas portfolio can result in decreasing the total risk by 8%, without acquiring additional costs. However, diversifying into coal power generation is viewed as questionable because of the environmental effect and carbon dioxide (CO_2) price sensitivity. Similar to Rodoulis's study, Iraq power generation mix is dominated by fossil fuels. However,

another factor that is involved in Iraq generation mix is the importation of power from neighboring countries. These similarities play a role in the similarities of the results of optimization where order to transition towards a more sustainable environment friendly mix, the reliance on oil power generation is reduced and it is replaced with natural gas.

Gökgöz and Atmaca [12] employed the mean-variance approach to come up with portfolio optimization for the electricity market in Turkey. Their study considered spot market hourly prices as risky assets. The main objective was to find an optimal portfolio based on known electricity generation costs and bilateral contract prices. The study used the Turkish historical balanced market hourly system marginal and day-ahead hourly market prices between 2006 and 2011. It took into account the principle that the electricity market has generation companies and their ultimate goals are to maximize their profits and minimize their risks. So, the main risk evaluation which was considered was spot price risk [12]. Similar to the study conducted by Gökgöz and Atmaca the objective of this study is the

optimization of the generation mix to minimize the risks for electricity generation, and reduce the costs. However, unlike the Turkish electricity market, the Iraqi electricity market is still regulated by the government. Even though the privatization of the sector has started since the involvement of the Independent Power Producers (IPPs), but still the price of electricity is regulated by the government and the IPPs sell to the government based on a fixed overall price.

Cunha and Ferreira [6] used MVA to come up with optimal renewable electricity production portfolios for Portugal. In their study two mean-variance approaches were proposed, the first approach targeted portfolio output maximization and the second approach targeted portfolio cost optimization. The implemented models used data from the Portuguese electricity system collected for a period of four years. The energy mix consisted a set of renewable energy sources (RES) which were hydropower, wind power, and photovoltaic. The risk taken into account was the variability of the power output, as it depends on the intraday and seasonal variability of renewable energy sources. As in Portugal the RES comes from hydropower and wind power plants so its contributing share to the energy mix varies and vulnerable to rainfall as in 2003 and 2010 it was higher than the remaining years due to the rainy weather (37% and 52%, respectively) [6]. The study concluded that for the two models the option which is considered less risky is to have a mix of renewable energy sources making use of the diversification benefits. Also, the option which yield the highest return are the one associated with the higher risk however the structure of the portfolio relies on each technology's costs. Unlike Cunha and Ferreira model which considers the risk based on variability of power output as it is obtained from renewable energy sources, the model of this study considers the risk as the availability of the fuels and their prices. As for the data used in this study it was based on the available data by the Ministry of Electricity for the year of 2018.

Costa *et al.* [13] carried out a study using MVA in Brazil. They had three objectives to focus on. Firstly, was to find out an energy portfolio with the minimum worst-case volatility and a fixed maximum expected energy cost. Secondly, finding an energy portfolio of minimum worst-case expected cost with fixed maximum volatility of the energy cost. Thirdly, looking for a combination of the expected and variance of the cost, weighted by a risk aversion parameter. They used a matrix to avoid the imprecision of data when using Monte Carlo. They used robust optimization, as the mean-variance portfolio model is sensitive to changes in input. In their case, it is the covariance matrix of asset returns and expected returns of assets. So the robust optimization model works by assigning nominal values for the parameters which would represent the original model, and the uncertain parameters are assumed to belong to a set and the end model is known as the robust counterpart of the original model. Investment costs, operational and maintenance costs, and fuel costs in the LOCE (levelized cost of energy). However, because they vary for the constructed plants and the new plants

which are planned and those which are in the completion process it was to assign the cost risk according to two categories the old energy (constructed power plants) and new energy (planned power plants). They also considered three methods for LCOE which are based on CO₂ emission costs (none, intermediate, and high emission costs). As for the risk factor they took into consideration the uncertainty in terms of representing the 8 energy technologies that were used in the model classified as “new” and “old” energies, following the box, ellipsoidal, and polytopic uncertainty sets. The results obtained from the box uncertainty model found that the effect of considering a higher CO₂ price will result in replacing the fossil fuels by renewables sources, which even extends to the point of reducing natural gas presence in the energy mix to 5.9%. As for the ellipsoidal uncertainty model, it shows that the CO₂ emission for the portfolio obtained is higher when compared with other uncertainty models. For the polytopic uncertainty model, it is noticed that there is high participation of wind energy in both the optimal and robust cases if compared with the original reference mix. In addition, the robust portfolios include a high gas participation [13]. Similar to the model used by Costa *et al.* the Monte Carlo method was used to account for changes in terms of costs in the three technologies involved in this study. However, only existing technologies and current sources of powers were considered in this study model unlike the Costa *et al.* model which considered technologies which are to be used in the future.

Cucchiella, Gastaldi, and Trosini [14] used MVA to conduct a study in Italy to see the feasibility of investing in RES and what is optimum for the energy mix. This comes as it is necessary to move the hydrocarbon dominated generation mix in Italy towards using cleaner energy sources. As per the European Union target of 2020 to achieve a 20% reduction in emissions as compared to that of 1990, achieving energy use efficiency of 20% and having a renewable share of 20% in the power generating mix. The technologies involved in their study were biomass, hydro, photovoltaic, and wind. They used in their study the Sharpe Index to evaluate the portfolios where it measures the profit from each portfolio and its associated risk. Each technology used in the portfolio can vary in terms of class of power (10 kW- 10 MW) and its cost (low, medium, and high). Three optimal scenarios were found where the technologies are grouped according to the cost. The results showed that generating mixes which include technologies with large power capacities are not good options. Because even if they yield proper returns but they have a large risk margin that doesn't permit decent values on the Sharpe Index [14]. However, the results showed that investing in small capacity power is more sustainable especially for technologies like hydro and wind. However, Cucchiella, Gastaldi, and Trosini have only looked at future investment scenarios where the energy mix only consisted of renewable resources. They did not look at the RES compatibility, efficiency, and the percentage of participation when other fossil fuels were used in the

power generating mix in Italy such as coal, and natural gas. Cucchiella, Gastaldi, and Trosini model is different from this study model as it doesn't consider all technologies currently used, but mainly focuses on conducting a feasibility investigation for the most optimized and profitable generation power mix that is based on future use of renewable energy resources. However, it is similar to this model in taking into account the criteria of evaluating power generation portfolios based on the cost and risk of the technologies involved in the model.

Malala and Adachi [15] carried out research using MVA in Kenya. The objective was to find the efficient frontier for the electricity sector to find an optimized, diversified, and lower cost portfolio that includes technologies that have low investment costs, are environment-friendly, and provide secure supply of electricity. Currently, the geothermal power and hydropower (25% and 31%, respectively) make up more than half of the generating energy mix as for wind 13% and thermal power 31%. The country even has a surplus in its generating capacity, however not all areas are connected to the grid as only 56% were connected in 2016. Even though there is a surplus in electricity produced, but it is estimated that there will be a gap between supply and demand that will appear from the year 2027 as a result of population growth and industrialization [15]. The results of Malala and Adachi's study showed the portfolio with the lowest risk is the one with the highest RES, as the fuel price volatility increases the risk. To shift to an ideal optimized portfolio, the Kenyan government will have to invest in geothermal, wind, solar, and natural gas power plants. Also financing investments is another issue, as Kenya was depending on loans to finance a big portion of the existing geothermal power plants. Thus, it is better to promote future plans by showing the return and profit that can be gained from such investments to attract investors instead of taking loans that will burden the country in the future. The model constructed Malala and Adachi, even though it has the same objective with our study model, however due to difference in the technologies used, there is a difference in terms of analyzing the risk associated with the fuel price and availability.

In this paper we mainly focus on the short- and mid-term (for the next 10 years) optimization of the current Iraq's energy system. Therefore, for the Iraq's model, fossil fuel power generation based on oil, natural gas, and diesel technologies were considered. As for renewables, the model takes into account the operating electricity plants and their possible mid and short-term optimization thus incorporating hydropower. But technologies needing very longer-term investment strategies, particularly in the case of Iraqi energy system, such as solar facilities can be considered in future studies. The risk of securing the liquid fuel especially crude oil and HFO needed for power generation is considered to be much lower in Iraq, as almost half of the energy mix relies on crude oil used for thermal power stations which is available locally, besides a big portion of the gas needed to power the gas

turbine is used from local production. Moreover, the electricity market is mostly dominated by the Ministry of Electricity (MoE).

In Iraq, the MoE is the main supplier there is no significant profit gained from providing electricity as it falls under government obligation, and money collected is unable to cover operating expenses. Due to the dependence of the Iraqi budget on the revenues from oil production and sale, the budget is highly vulnerable to variations. Thus, this study is focused on energy obtained from technologies that are currently operating and their future development. While future non-probable investments were not considered. Another concern is the attraction of investors and getting financial aid to develop the electrical sector, which could be challenging due to the unstable security and related uncertainties.

3. THE OPTIMIZATION MODEL'S FRAMEWORK AND STRUCTURE

Portfolio theory gives the advantage for the investors to choose the best portfolio that makes them avoid the extra risk associated with the investment. If the Iraqi government is considering investing in assets to generate power, then an appropriate measurement would be the total cost of power generation per unit of energy (\$/kWh) which is equivalent to the inverse of a return (kWh/\$) [10].

In this study, the expected portfolio cost is the weighted average of the expected generating costs. Each portfolio includes costs of electricity generated by gas turbine, thermal, diesel, hydro, imported electricity and electricity generated by the independent power producers, as shown in the following equation:

$$E(C_p) = X_1 \times E(C_1) + X_2 \times E(C_2) + X_3 \times E(C_3) + X_4 \times E(C_4) \quad (1)$$

In which X_1 , X_2 and X_3 represent the fractional shares of three technologies involved in the generating mix and $E(C_1)$, $E(C_2)$, $E(C_3)$ and $E(C_4)$ refer to the expected levelized costs per kWh. X_4 represents the electricity produced by hydro power stations, electricity produced by IPPs, and imported electricity. For the imported electricity, the cost taken into account is 0.0925 \$/kWh (Iraq paid \$432.62 million in 2018 for generating 4,678,144 MWh) and MoE purchased electricity from the independent power producers at an average price of US¢ 9 per kWh (data from MoE annual report 2018 and IEI Iraq Residential Tariff Reform Analysis, 2018). The average costs for the IPPs and Imported electricity were used to represent the 27% contribution share, however the hydro contribution which is about 2% was included in the average due to lack of data in terms of operational cost for hydro power stations. Also, this model represents hydro power as a fixed contributing factor to the generation mix, and it is included in the model to resemble the realistic condition.

The expected portfolio risk $E(\sigma_p)$ refers to the difference in the year to year of generation cost. It is the

weighted average of the single technology cost variances, as influenced by their covariance:

$$E(\sigma_p) = (X_1^2\sigma_1^2 + X_2^2\sigma_2^2 + X_3^2\sigma_3^2 + X_4^2\sigma_4^2 + 2X_1X_2\rho_{1,2}\sigma_1\sigma_2 + 2X_1X_3\rho_{1,3}\sigma_1\sigma_3 + 2X_2X_3\rho_{2,3}\sigma_2\sigma_3)^{0.5} \quad (2)$$

Whereby σ_1, σ_2 and σ_3 represent the standard deviations of the holding period returns of the annual cost technologies and $\rho_{1,2}, \rho_{1,3}$ and $\rho_{2,3}$ are their correlation coefficient between two technologies costs. As for the standard deviation for the imported electricity and the electricity generated by the independent power producers it was taken as zero as the cost values are fixed.

The holding period returns measures the change rate in the cost of stream from one year to another, and it is estimated by the following equation [16]:

$$\text{Holding Period Returns} = \frac{\text{Cost in year (t+1)} - \text{Cost in year (t)}}{\text{Cost in year (t)}} \quad (3)$$

As previously explained (section 2°), in the Iraqi case, there are three technologies involved in the generation mix which are gas turbines, thermal and diesel power stations. So, the total expected portfolio cost of the Iraqi mix is:

$$E(C_{\text{Iraqp}}) = X_{\text{gas}} \times E(C_{\text{gas}}) + X_{\text{thermal}} \times E(C_{\text{thermal}}) + X_{\text{diesel}} \times E(C_{\text{diesel}}) + X_{\text{IPPs+imports}} \times E(C_{\text{IPPs+imports+hydro}}) \quad (4)$$

As for the total expected risk (standard deviation) of the portfolio:

$$E(\sigma_{\text{Iraqp}}) = (X_{\text{gas}}^2\sigma_{\text{gas}}^2 + X_{\text{thermal}}^2\sigma_{\text{thermal}}^2 + X_{\text{diesel}}^2\sigma_{\text{diesel}}^2 + 2X_{\text{gas}}X_{\text{thermal}}\rho_{\text{gas,thermal}}\sigma_{\text{gas}}\sigma_{\text{thermal}} + 2X_{\text{gas}}X_{\text{diesel}}\rho_{\text{gas,diesel}}\sigma_{\text{gas}}\sigma_{\text{diesel}} + 2X_{\text{thermal}}X_{\text{diesel}}\rho_{\text{thermal,diesel}}\sigma_{\text{thermal}}\sigma_{\text{diesel}})^{0.5} \quad (5)$$

Where the shares and costs of the Iraqi power generation technologies are X_i and C_i respectively. The standard deviation for each technology is σ_i and the correlation coefficient between the different fuels used in the technologies is ρ_i , measured in power units. For the correlation between the fuel prices used for different technologies, thermal power stations are operated by crude oil, for diesel power stations they are operated by diesel, and for gas turbine power stations they are operated by gas, the price of the gas imported from Iran was the one taken into consideration as reference price 1. Figure 4 represents the correlation between these fuels. The fuels included in the correlation were limited to the fuels used in the power stations in Iraq to try to resemble a realistic condition that is used to set up the optimization model.

Historical data used for the correlation range for the past 20 years. Due to the limited available data, the data used in obtaining the standard deviation for the various costs were based on data obtained from the MoE for the year 2018 for 34 power stations currently used in the power generation mix.




Fig. 4. Correlation between different fuels used in generating mix.

Table 1. The correlation coefficients between the fuels used in different technologies.

	Crude oil	Imported Iranian natural gas	Diesel
Crude oil	1		
Imported Iranian natural gas	0.998	1	
Diesel	0.980	0.980	1

In the model Monte Carlo simulation technique is used. Where calculations are performed by the simulation to create different outcomes using normal distribution based on the contribution share of each technology given for each generating mix combination.

The cost of each power generation unit is given to the simulation model. Table 2 shows the initial input values for the normal distribution. The values of the diesel are assumed to be similar to that of thermal due to the similarity of the two technologies and lack of precise

data. Different portfolios have been considered in the simulation process and each portfolio represents a different share structure of various technologies producing in the generating mix. These different scenarios were considering that the Iraqi government intends to reduce its dependency on thermal power stations and reducing the imported electricity, while increasing the share of gas turbines. The data in Table 3 represents each technology and its contributing share to the current state of the generation mix.

Table 2. Technology Risk Estimates /Standard Deviation (Iraq Energy Institute, 2020).

	Fuel cost \$/kWh	Operation cost \$/kWh
Gas turbines	0.067	0.041
Thermal	0.016	0.009
Diesel	0.016	0.009

Table 3. The current power generation mix in Iraq and the standard deviation of the total cost for each technology.

	Contributing share to generation mix (x)	Standard deviation
Gas	0.44	0.063
Thermal	0.25	0.019
Diesel	0.04	0.016
IPPs + imported electricity + hydro	0.27	0.000

Table 4. Electricity generation costs.

Total generated electricity (MWh) (MoE, annual report 2018)	106,174,608	
Net sold electricity (MWh) (MoE, annual report 2018)	39,593,993	
	With subsidized fuel	Without subsidized fuel
Total cost of electricity production [billion US\$] (MoE and IEI analysis, 2018)	[6.040]	[10.070]
Cost ([USD]/kWh) = (Total cost of electricity production / Net sold electricity)	[0.153]	[0.254]
Cost ([USD]/kWh) = (Total cost of electricity production / Total generated electricity)	[0.057]	[0.095]

For each variable a set of thousand trials was performed to obtain the final required result. The total cost of the portfolio is the total of all the levelized costs distribution, so the Monte Carlo simulation generates the total cost of the portfolio. The calculated current cost and current risk according to the MVA (Equation 1 and Equation 2) are 0.085\$/kWh and 0.033 standard deviation respectively. While the current costs with subsidized fuel and without subsidized fuel according to 2018 MoE’s data are shown in Table 4.

There are some differences between the current cost calculated using MVA (0.08533\$/kWh) with that calculated from MoE’s data when it’s compared with the cost based on the net electricity sold (0.153 \$/kWh with subsidized fuel, and 0.254 \$/kWh without subsidized fuel). However, if the cost estimated by MVA (0.085\$/kWh) is compared with the cost for the total electricity generated (0.057 \$/kWh with subsidized fuel,

and 0.095 \$/kWh without subsidized fuel) is the value is very close.

The difference in costs can be attributed to the different expenses that may have been taken into account, as for the model the calculation is based on the operational cost and the fuel cost

4. RESULTS AND ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

Figure 5 shows the obtained efficient frontier from the MVA model. It is observed that the most significant effects in the model are attributed to gas turbine and imports. Increasing the use of gas turbines decreases the cost, while the imports’ increase is going to drive up the cost. In the model the risk may decrease when the imports are going to increase, however it will still have a high-risk effect in real life as the country will be depending on foreign imports outside of its control.




Fig. 5. Efficient frontier and the optimization's results.

Table 5. The current cost and risk for the current situation portfolio.

	Current situation	Optimized portfolio	Cost reduction percentage
Cost (\$/kWh)	0.0569 (based on actual average cost)	0.0394	-30.79 % (based on actual average cost)
	0.0853 (based on MVA estimation)		-53.86 % (based on MVA estimation)
Risk (standard deviation)		0.033	0.034 -

The cost in the current situation can be lowered than the average actual cost and the estimated cost by the model, with the same risk, if the Iraqi government can achieve a situation where the contributing technologies shares match the efficient frontier curve. Table 5 shows the optimized cost and risk and the reduction percentage for the costs.

The contributing shares in the generation mix of the optimized portfolio consists of around 47% gas turbines, 14% thermal, 0.04% diesel, 2% hydro and 33% independent power providers. These optimized results show an increase in the gas turbines shares and decrease in the shares for thermal power as compared with the current generation mix.

Hydro power was assumed to have a fixed contribution due to the saturation of Hydro technology in Iraq and the fact that there is no more new investment opportunities except for improving the existing sites.

Although IPPs and imported electricity were merged under the same contributing share as the imported electricity have almost the same cost of the IPPs, but IPPs are more economically viable as they provide employment opportunities and contribute to the country growth. Reducing the cost further down horizontally will cause the risk to increase, also it will result in the reduction of IPPs and growth of gas technology operated by the MoE.

However, it does not come with the long-term plans of the government to decentralize the electricity market towards more privatization and reducing the operating expenses.

The optimized portfolio suggested by this study aligns with the future plans of the Iraqi government as it intends to reduce the dependency on imported electricity

and thermal power stations and to utilize the gas turbine power stations.

One of the results good sides is that it represents an optimization that is based on the real available data, as the input data for optimization were collected from various operational power stations. Another point to be in favor of the result that it formulates an achievable plan that can be executed in the midterm range (next 10 years). Lastly, an argument in favor of the results is that it is transitions the generation mix towards a more sustainable generation mix that is economically viable as it reduces dependency on foreign imports, and harmful thermal power.

As for the drawbacks of the results, it is based on the most recent available data from the MoE, as the data is limited for 1 year only (2018). The reliability of the study will be improved when the range of data available is increased.

5. CONCLUSION

Iraqi power sector currently is in a dire state as the production of electricity is below the average demand. The country depends very much on fossil fueled power stations for electricity generation and this is harmful for the environment because of both local and global pollutions generated by those plants.

In order for the country to satisfy the increasing demand for the electricity, optimizing the current mix will help in reducing the production cost and keeping the risk at the same level as shown in the optimized portfolio. Also it helps in transitioning towards more environment friendly generation mix, as the share of the thermal power is reduced and reliance on imported electricity is replaced with power supplied by IPPs.

Lastly, this is a novel study that uses MVA optimization for the current technologies involved in Iraq's generation mix to produce an optimized generation mix that is more environment friendly and less costly. The study is limited to the current situation based on the short and midterm (10 years) strategies in which there is no intention for any investment in new technologies and the main and absolute priority of the Iraqi authorities is to become able to satisfy the current demand and eradicate the energy poverty of households and industries. However, it is highly recommended for the long-term strategy that other alternative renewable technologies than Hydro (e.g. solar and wind) to be considered in future studies to give more investment options and solutions.

6. POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

In order to achieve the desired energy mix, there are some policies that need to be implemented to pave the way for that transition.

As shown in the results, in order for Iraq to move towards a more sustainable environment friendly generation mix. Iraq needs to work on its fuel switching and to achieve this by replacing the inefficient fuels used to generate power such as crude oil with cleaner and economical alternatives such as heavy fuel oil, gasoil and diesel. This can be done by augmenting the existing fuel processing projects and building new ones.

As the percentage of power produced from gas turbines in the generation mix increase there should be adequate amount of fuel supplied to the gas power stations. Supplying gas to power streams should become another priority by investing in infrastructure that is able to transport the gas needed and make sure to link it to the power stations as this will reduce the dependency on imported gas. At the same time, this strategy will provide Iraq with alternatives, such as replacing imported gas with gas produced in Kurdistan region [17]. Additionally, the government should start looking at reducing the costs for oil production. By upgrading the current facilities, the government can increase the efficiency and reduce the operation and maintenance costs. The country could also invest in gas capturing, not only to reduce flaring (as a large part of the associated gas is flared) but also to provide the necessary fuel to gas plants instead of importing the gas.

As the government is providing subsidized fuel to produce electricity, which keeps the cost of the electricity produced relatively low. Reduction in terms of cost can be applied through the implementation of tariff reform. A large part of the losses in tariff collection happens in the housing sector, as it makes up of 48.3 percent of the total number of consumers. One of the main issues facing the electricity sector is the tariff collection, theft, and power piracy as there are many who do not pay for electricity and this results in the government paying on their behalf [18]. A gradual subsidies removal (or at least a significant decrease) should be applied by setting a percentage of reduction annually. Even then, the differences in society in terms of wages and income should be taken into account.

In order to achieve the optimized portfolio whereby the imported electricity is replaced by power generated inside the country the IPPS should increase its shares in the generation mix. Increasing the IPP share in generation mix and power purchase agreement (PPA) model implementation in Iraq will also help moving the electricity sector towards competition. Using IPP system takes off the burden from the MoE in terms of operational cost as private sector is more flexible in terms of only employing the necessary manpower.

In parallel with making investment in increasing the generation capacity, the government should consider investing in the transmission and distribution infrastructure to limit the aggregated technical and commercial (AT&C) losses. As the AT&C losses that occur to the generated electricity when it is transported reached over 58% in 2017 [19].

Last but not the least, in the long-term investing in renewable technologies is a viable option for Iraq. Solar power can be utilized on a large scale in the western and southern part of Iraq, where the solar radiation duration is between 2,800 to 3,000 hours per year with over 6.5 - 7 kWh/m² horizontal irradiation per day [5]. However, providing incentives for more investments in those assets and their sustainable development would not be possible without first reforming the current technoeconomic situation of the Iraq's energy system.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support of the Chair of Electricity Economics and Digital Transition (EEDT) sponsored by AFI (French association for industrial training). The authors are also indebted to Harry H. Istepanian and Yesar Al-Maleki from Iraq Energy Institute for their insightful comments and suggestions.

NOMENCLATURE

X_1, X_2 and X_3	Fractional shares of three technologies involved in the generating mix.
$E(C_{1,2,3,4})$	Expected levelized costs per kWh.
X_4	Electricity produced by hydro power stations.
$E(C_p)$	Expected portfolio cost.
Greek Letters	
$E(\sigma_p)$	Difference in the year to year of generation cost.
σ_1, σ_2 and σ_3	Standard deviations of the holding period returns of the annual cost technologies.
$\rho_{1,2}, \rho_{1,3}$ and $\rho_{2,3}$	Correlation coefficient between two technologies costs.

REFERENCES

- [1] World Bank., 2020. Iraq's Economic Update. Retrieved June 21, 2020 from the World Wide Web: <https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/iraq/publication/economic-update-april-2020#:~:text=GDP%20growth%20is%20projected%20to,2.7%25%20in%202021%2D2022.&text=As%20a%20result%2C%20non%2Doil%20GDP%20growth%20is%20expected%20to,decelerate%20to%202.7%25%20by%202021.>
- [2] Ibrahim M.D. and A.A. Alola. 2020. Integrated analysis of energy-economic development-environmental sustainability nexus: Case study of MENA countries. *Science of The Total Environment* 737: 139768.
- [3] Saint Akadiri S., Alola A.A., Olasehinde-Williams G., and Etokakpan, M. U., 2020. The role of electricity consumption, globalization and economic growth in carbon dioxide emissions and its implications for environmental sustainability targets. *Science of The Total Environment* 708: 134653.
- [4] Adedoyin F.F., Bekun F.V., and Alola A.A., 2020. Growth impact of transition from non-renewable to renewable energy in the EU: the role of research and development expenditure. *Renewable Energy* 159: 1139-1145.
- [5] IEA, 2019. Iraq's Energy Sector: A Roadmap to a Brighter Future, IEA, Paris. Retrieved June 21, 2020 from the World Wide Web: <https://www.iea.org/reports/iraqs-energy-sector-a-roadmap-to-a-brighter-future>
- [6] Cunha J. and P.V. Ferreira. 2014. Designing electricity generation portfolios using the mean-variance approach. *International Journal of Sustainable Energy Planning and Management* 4: 17-30.
- [7] Zhu L. and Y. Fan. 2010. Optimization of China's generating portfolio and policy implications based on portfolio theory. *Energy* 35(3): 1391-1402.
- [8] Awerbuch S., 2006. Portfolio-based electricity generation planning: policy implications for renewables and energy security. *Mitigation and adaptation strategies for Global Change* 11(3): 693-710.
- [9] Gökgöz F. and M.E. Atmaca. 2016. Financial portfolio optimization in electricity markets: evaluation via sharpe ratio. *International Journal of Economics and Management Engineering* 10(11): 3578-3586.
- [10] Farnoosh A., 2016. On the economic optimization of national power generation mix in Iran: A Markowitz' portfolio-based approach. University of Montpellier, CREDEN, Cahier de recherche 16(03):113.
- [11] Rodoulis N., 2010. Evaluation of Cyprus' electricity generation planning using mean-variance portfolio theory. *Cyprus Economic Policy Review* 4(2): 25-42.
- [12] Gökgöz F. and M.E. Atmaca. 2012. Financial optimization in the Turkish electricity market: Markowitz's mean-variance approach. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 16(1): 357-368.
- [13] Costa O.L., de Oliveira Ribeiro C., Rego E.E., Stern J.M., Parente V., and Kileber S., 2017. Robust portfolio optimization for electricity planning: An application based on the Brazilian electricity mix. *Energy Economics* 64: 158-169.
- [14] Cucchiella F., Gastaldi M., and Trosini M., 2017. Investments and cleaner energy production: A portfolio analysis in the Italian electricity market. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 142: 121-132.
- [15] Malala O.N. and T. Adachi. 2020. Portfolio optimization of electricity generating resources in Kenya. *The Electricity Journal* 33(4): 106733.
- [16] Awerbuch S. and M. Berger. 2003. Applying portfolio theory to EU electricity planning and policy-making. IEA/EET working paper 3: 69.
- [17] Saadi D., 2020. Iraq could limit dependence on Iranian energy imports with Kurdish gas: US official. S&P Global. Retrieved December 15, 2020 from the World Wide Web: <https://www.spglobal.com/platts/en/market-insights/latest-news/natural-gas/112320-iraq-could-limit-dependence-on-iranian-energy-imports-with-kurdish-gas-us-official>
- [18] Dourian K., 2020. Iraq's Electricity challenges mount as oil revenue slows to a trickle. Arab Gulf States Institute in Washington (AGSIW). Retrieved December 15, 2020 from the World Wide Web: <https://agsiw.org/iraqs-electricity-challenges-mount-as-oil-revenue-slows-to-a-trickle/>
- [19] Iraq Energy Institute (IEI), 2020. Residential electricity subsidies in Iraq: exploring options for reform. Retrieved December 15, 2020 from the World Wide Web: <https://iraqenergy.org/product/residential-electricity-subsidies-in-iraq-exploring-options-for-reform-report/>.